

COUNCIL FOR FACULTY ADVISING MEETING

Monday, March 1, 2021

Zoom Meeting

1:00 - 2:00 PM

Present: Debra Jackson, Marta Ruiz (Admin Support), Anna Jacobsen, Anne Duran, Jeremy Woods, Joel Haney, Karlo Lopez, Kris Grappendorf, Mary Slaughter, Melissa Danforth, Pratigya Sigdya, Tzy Fen Chang

Absent: BreAnna Evans, Santiago, Patrick O'Neill

Action Items:

Fix Academic Requirements (Degree Audit)

Define distinct clear roles with guardrails- a lot of the issues will become clear as we work through the hierarchy of how information is curated.

Clarification on the catalog, there is a sentence "For a major with a high cognate one course can be doublecounted between the two." In 065, 260, the IF Side track will be

full report, we will lead the conversation by looking at their recommendations and deciding how we would like to move the campus forward concerning those recommendations.

Jackson will pull a couple of job descriptions and send them out.

Jackson will see if D. Knepp would like to send an invitation to anyone else who would like to join the group to talk about faculty advising.

Keep RunnerConnect in the backburner, not immediate. Once this does get rolled out, there needs to be a better streamlined process for getting faculty advisors into the system.

Marta Ruiz will send out a doodle poll to find a common date and time for the next meeting during the week of March 22

and schedule it once everyone responds.

The meeting began at 1:00 PM

D. Jackson welcomes and thanks everyone attending. She states she wants to formalize what this body is doing and do it more deliberately. This is the first meeting that has an agenda and some of the things that RunnerConnect did, that meeting was held in November 2020, which was the last meeting for Faculty Advising held, until today.

COUNCIL FOR FACULTY ADVISING MEETING

Monday, March 1, 2021

Zoom Meeting

1:00 - 2:00 PM

At the last meeting in November, there was a brief conversation after the presentation, where we talked about RunnerConnect, and the possibility of implementing a rollout of RunnerConnect among faculty advisors, staff advisors are all using RunnerConnect and they are pleased with how it is working. The issues came up at the end of the meeting in November about implementation.

1. Faculty advisors are utilizing different platforms for meeting with their students and that there could be some resistance to using yet another new software system or some confusion amongst students about how to access their faculty.
2. We are still going through this pandemic and the timing of trying to roll out something new when we're already dealing with so much change
3. The need for thoughtful coordination between the Faculty and staff advisors about how RunnerConnect would be used and how the communication would proceed.

Spring 2021 goals

D. Jackson states she is summarizing where we are as opposed to direct where we are going, she wants this conversation to be about brainstorming and getting all the issues out and what are the goals for the Council for Faculty Advising, what are we going to do next.

Jackson agrees with A. Jacobsen on suggesting that one of the first things that need to happen is a delineation of roles, who is responsible for what, this could help correct some of the concerns that have been raised about advisors trying to create the curriculum which is squarely the purview of the faculty.

M. Danforth stated concern with the Advising Leadership Team (ALT), there is no faculty representation in that body, and they make a decision about advising holds without consulting faculty. Advising should not be driven by the staff advisory group the(.)]TJ.9 ((.)]10.6 (y) gro3.26 >>BDC 0R1.1 (d)1029>>BD (u)2.2 (t)

