

GRADUATION INITIATIVE 2025 TASKFORCE

Tuesday, March 28, 2017

ADM 101

8:30 – 10:00 a.m.

Present:

Provost Zorn, Vernon Harper, Kris Krishnan, Paul Newberry, John Dirkse, Lori Paris, Jaimi Paschal, Vikash Lakhani, Luis Vega, Nyakundi Michieka, Jacqueline Mimms, Steve Bacon, Debbie Boschini, Jim Drnek, Kathy Lund

Absent:

Denise Romero

Guests: Vandana Kohli

Trustee Jane Carney

Action Items:

Short Term

Resolution of the following issues relative to the 2 Year/4 Year Pledge:

- o GPA ('good standing' vs. 2.3 vs. 2.0)
- o Monetary incentives
- o Name

Further data to come regarding student pass rates in Fall 2016, the results of the FYS Curriculum Taskforce, and the responses to the student survey sent out through Grades First.

Long-term plan due to the Chancellor's Office by April 28.

Long Term

Recognition of California Pledge students at commencement (notation in graduation booklet, distinctive cords, etc.)

Assessment of the 2 Year/4 Year Pledge and Graduation Initiative Taskforce efforts.

Meeting Notes:

Meeting called to order at 8:42 a.m. by V. Harper. The minutes of a meeting held on March 14, 2017 were reviewed, with no corrections noted. V. Harper also noted that the meeting agendas and notes were being uploaded to the Graduation Initiative website.

4-Year / 2-Year Pledge (discussion resumed)

V. Kohli provided an update, noting that she has met with many different people in several different settings. Tuesday, she met with the AAC, and they approved the format, so they've been forwarded to the department chairs. She has compiled a FAQ sheet, of sorts, but isn't quite ready to post it yet. The websites have been created, although they are simplified for now. The forms must be electronically

- GPA ('good standing' vs. 2.3 vs. 2.0)
- Monetary incentives
- Name

She then asked if there were any questions she could answer?

V. Harper asked if there was an opportunity to revisit the name? There were some at the AAC meeting who wanted a name for the program, but there was no conversation about an alternative name. V. Kohli indicated about half of the CSUs have named their program; it is customary outside the CSU system to have a catchy name.

D. Boschini noted that it would be helpful when talking to faculty to emphasize that we are mandated to do this. It's not a conversation

DRAFT

