

(excused)

1. Call to Order

A. Hegde called the meeting to order. He read a statement acknowledging CSUB's stewardship of the land of the Tejon Tribe.

2. Approval of Minutes

J. Deal moved to approve March 17, 2022 minutes. C. Lam seconded. Approved. C. Lam moved to approve April 7, 2022 minutes. J. Deal seconded. Approved.

- Sustainability Conference – Excellent event, thanks to A. Lauer
- Carbon Sequestering Conference – April 29, 2022, starting 8:00a.m.
In partnership with Lawrence Livermore Labs .
- Commencement 2022 – Special events begin May 1 . See

- AVP Grants and Sponsored Research (GRaSP)– Looking for an interim . The appointment to be announced very soon.
- Dean Antelope Valley – Interviews taking place this week.
- Dean Library – Look for news next week .
- Dean BPA – Look for news in two weeks .
- Thank you to all faculty participating in those really important Search Committee processes to bring leaders to our campus .
- Cluster Hire Roadshow – Feedback has been gathered from affinity groups and the Chairs Council . There's a meeting with the Faculty and Staff Association , soon. The Cover and Application to be distributed next week. Departments can formally apply at the end of next week. The decision on those lines is planned shortly thereafter. (V. Harper)

7. Committee Reports and Requests

(Minutes from AAC, AS&SS, BPC and FACare post

Tarjan had the first chair review . He was able to see the committee's review before writing a chair's review. It informed his chair's review. Given the parallel timeline, it defeats the purpose of chair review. If the unit committee is privy to and includes all the information that they should, it often obviates the necessity for a chair review. He does not feel it should be

makes it doubly challenging. A. Hegde has talked to individuals who run departments who have not done a program review , to figure out why. The answers vary. One of the recommendations in the resolution is that at least three individuals get together to write it. It reflects the importance of

UPRC in consultation with the program faculty and the school Dean, would make a recommendation to the Provost on how to proceed, including proceeding with external review of the program. ” Ultimately, rather than the UPRC electing on its own how to do this, UPRC would make a recommendation to the Provost, who would then decide if it was appropriate or not. The Provost would have the knowledge of the department, etc. (A. Hegde) The UPRC does reach out to the program chair to help them complete their self-study. Sometimes the Deans work directly with the programs toward completion. Other times it’s more of a partnership between the Dean and the UPRC. One of the sources of the idea came from J. Sun, who met with another university, referring to yet another university that was struggling with their programs review. They got support external ly to help with evaluation of the program without the self-study. It would be last resort. Ideally, the program does need to do the self-reflection. (D. Jackson) The motion is to change the highlighted sentence: “would make a recommendation to the Provost on how to proceed, which may include a UPRC -initiated review.” The motion approved. (M. Danforth) The gavel was returned to the Senate Chair. Thank you to D. Jackson. We can work together to change the culture. The vote resulted in majority approval. The resolution carried. Thank you to AAC, BPC and the UPRC Task Force and J. Sun. (A. Hegde)

~~NOV 25 2019 8:08 (rgr5 (e)-mf2 0 m)-4 rned tois 1 (u tt)-10 (i12 Tcp04 >>BDC -0.004u Tc r)-5~~

assessment, and ongoing

administrators. The group has been working diligently for a year.

– M. Rees introduced on behalf of FAC. Some assigned time notifications come late in the academic year when students are already registered for Fall. This resolution is an attempt to address this issue . Aim for notification by March 15. The resolution reinforce s the need for the chair’s signature on requests . (M. Rees) Should the notification deadline be tied to the calendar , rather than a specific date , thus reducing the number of times it’s modif ied in response to changes. (M. Danforth) The need for department chair signature is problematic if the chair is not available and it puts a chill on faculty of not having the chair’s approval. (A. Grombly) The resolution calls for the acknowledgement , not the approval , of the chair (M. Rees) According to t he Handbook 312.3, chair evaluation is due by April 1st. It’s hard to know who the new chair is going to be at that time . (B. Frakes) The current application reads as if one has to have the chair’s approval. It needs to be clearly specified that it’s an acknowledgement of the chair. M id-March or earlier is reasonable. It fair to the students. (J. Kraybill)

C. Lam motioned to extend the meeting by five minutes. J. Deal seconded.

period. Faculty can be nominated for another award at any time. (M. Rees) D. Solano recommends more than five years for the same award. (D. Solano)

9. Open Forum Items

Topic: Counseling Walk-In Hours during Final Exams Week – Opportunities to talk with a counselor. (J. Millar)

Topic: Effective date of RES 212217 – It passed whereby the Wednesday before Thanksgiving is a day off. D. Boschini reminded that calendar 2022-2023 has already been submitted. It won't go into effect until 2023-2024.

Topic: Two faculty members came to him to report that the room where they were going to teach in was taped off due to activities related to Facilities and the faculty members were not notified. Three classes had to be cancelled ad hoc. Is there a way that such Facilities work could be shared, especially related to teaching duties? We need means and policies to communicate such scheduling of work. (B. Street)

Topic: Applications for Instructionally Related Activities (IRA) – It's important to advance activities that advance students' opportunities and experiences. Is there any funding for IRA?